

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
MIDDLE DISTRICT**

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	No. 570 MAL 2025
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	
v.	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal
	:	from the Order of the Superior
	:	Court
	:	
	:	
KEITH ANTHONY CHOICE,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 5th day of October, 2026, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is
GRANTED. The issues, as stated by petitioner, are:

- (1) Did the Superior Court err in affirming trial court's denial of [Petitioner's] motion to suppress pursuant to Article 1, § 8 of the Pennsylvania Constitution and the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution on the ground that the search warrants in question and affidavits of probable cause failed to establish individualized probable cause and that the shooter possessed a cell phone, let alone an Android based device?

- (2) Did the Superior Court err in affirming the trial court's denial of [Petitioner's] motion to suppress pursuant to Article 1, § 8 of the Pennsylvania Constitution and the 4th Amendment of the United States Constitution on the ground that each of the three steps in the geofence process articulated in the search warrants in question and accompanying affidavits of probable cause and carried out by Google pursuant to the warrants, constituted an unconstitutionally overbroad search that also lacked particularity of probable cause and that recovered constitutionally protected location history data?